TAXONOMIC LUMBER



BROAD TAXON CONCEPT

EMPLOYS BROAD CHARACTER STATES

RECOGIZES <u>FEW</u> TAXA

TAXONOMIC LUMBER

TAXONOMIC SPLITTER

TAXONOMIC SPLITTER

NARROW TAXON CONCEPT

TAXONOMIC SPLITTER

TAXONOMIC SPLITTER

NARROW TAXON CONCEPT

EMPLOYS NARROW CHRACTER STATES

TAXONOMIC SPLITTER

TAXONOMIC SPLITTER

AP

NARROW TAXON CONCEPT

EMPLOYS NARROW CHRACTER STATES

RECOGIZES MANY TAXA

TAXONOMIC SPLITTER



TAXONOMIC **IJMBER** VS **SPLITTER** APPIED

MONOGRAPH OUTSET Unaopsis **TEN** RECOGNIZED **NAMES/SPECIES** Unaopsis alpha Unaopsis beta Unaopsis delta Unaopsis epsilon Unaopsis gamma Unaopsis kappa Unaopsis omega Unaopsis sigma Unaopsis theta Unaopsis unaopsis

5





Unaopsis beta Killgore Unaopsis omega



Unaopsis sigma Kellogg Unaopsis gamma Unaopsis unaopsis



Unaopsis delta Sims Unaopsis alpha Unaopsis kappa Unaopsis theta

Unaopsis zeta Floyd sp. nov.



Unaopsis epsilon Ford

Unaopsis alpha Unaopsis beta Unaopsis delta Unaopsis epsilon Unaopsis gamma Unaopsis kappa Unaopsis omega Unaopsis sigma Unaopsis theta Unaopsis unaopsis



Unaopsis beta Killgore Unaopsis omega

Unaopsis alpha Unaopsis beta Unaopsis delta



Unaopsis sigma Kellogg Unaopsis gamma

TAXONOMIC LUMBER



Unaopsis zeta Floyd sp. nov.



Unaopsis epsilon Ford

Unaopsis sigma Unaopsis theta Unaopsis unaopsis





APPLIED AT ANY TAXONOMIC LEVEL

TAXONOMIC LUMBER VS TAXONOMIC SPLITTERS



FAMILY **SPLITTER** 532 **ANGIOSPERM** FAMILIES

FAMILY **SPLITTER** 532 ANGIOSPERM FAMILIES



ARMEN TAKHTAJAN





FAMILY LUMBER 387 ANGIOSPERM FAMILIES

FAMILY LUMBER 387 ANGIOSPERM FAMILES



ARTHUR CRONQUIST



PLANT TAXONOMY COMPONENTS



S

SYSTEMATIC STUDIES

SYSTEMATIC STUDIES

C

BIO-DIVERSITY CLASSIFICATION

SYSTEMATIC STUDIES

CLASSIFICATION

CLASSIFICATION



GROUPS ORGANISMS WITH SHARED CHARACTERS

CLASSIFICATION

CLASSIFICATION

╋

GROUPS ORGANISMS WITH SHARED CHARACTERS





ARTIFICIAL CLASSIFICATION VS NATURAL CLASSIFICATION



BASED ON FEW CHARACTERS

BASED ON FEW CHARACTERS LOW CHARACTER CORRELATION

BASED ON FEW CHARACTERS LOW CHARACTER CORRELATION GROUPS UNRELATED ORGANISMS

BASED ON FEW CHARACTERS LOW CHARACTER CORRELATION GROUPS UNRELATED ORGANISMS DESIGNED FOR NON-SCIENTIST



BASED ON FEW CHARACTERS LOW CHARACTER CORRELATION GROUPS UNRELATED ORGANISMS DESIGNED FOR NON-SCIENTIST EG WILD-FLOWER GUIDE





PETERSON FIELD GUIDES Wildflowers Northeastern/NorthCentral North America

Ç.

2

Roger Tory Peterson/Margaret McKenny





















MAGNOLIA



WATER LILY







WATER LILY

+

0





TRILLIUM




MAGNOLIA









TRILLIUM





ARTIFICAL CLASSIFICATION



G



LOW CHARACTER CORRELATIONION





GROUPS UNRELATED ORANISMS

PETERSON FIELD GUIDES



DESIGNED FOR NON-SCIENTISTS



BASED ON MANY CHARACTERS

BASED ON MANY CHARACTERS HIGH CHARACTER CORRELATION

BASED ON MANY CHARACTERS HIGH CHARACTER CORRELATION GROUPS RELATED ORGANISMS

BASED ON MANY CHARACTERS HIGH CHARACTER CORRELATION GROUPS RELATED ORGANISMS DESIGNED FOR SCIENTISTS

BASED ON MANY CHARACTERS HIGH CHARACTER CORRELATION GROUPS RELATED ORGANISMS DESIGNED FOR SCIENTISTS EG SCIENTIFIC WORKS



Flora of North America

North of Mexico

Edited by FLORA OF NORTH AMERICA EDITORIAL COMMITTEE

VOLUME 23

Magnoliophyta: Commelinidae (in part): Cyperaceae





ORCHIDS





4:

N



North of Mexico

NATURAL CLASSIFICATION

Edited by FLORA OF NORTH AMERICA EDITORIAL COMMITTEE

VOLUME 23

Magnoliophyta: Commelinidae (in part): Cyperaceae









G

North of Mexico



Edited by FLORA OF NORTH AMERICA EDITORIAL COMMITTEE

VOLUME 23

Magnoliophyta: Commelinidae (in part): Cyperaceae





North of Mexico

GROUPS RELATED ORANISMS

Edited by FLORA OF NORTH AMERICA EDITORIAL COMMITTEE

VOLUME 23

Magnoliophyta: Commelinidae (in part): Cyperaceae



Flora of North America

North of Mexico

DESIGNED FOR SCIENTISTS

Edited by FLORA OF NORTH AMERICA EDITORIAL COMMITTEE

VOLUME 23

Magnoliophyta: Commelinidae (in part): Cyperaceae



NEW YORK OXFORD • OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS • 2002

?





BOTANISTS PREFER ARTIFICAL CLASSIFICATION OR NATURAL CLASSIFICATION?





NATURAL CLASSIFICATION SPECIFICS

HOMOLOGOUS **CHARACTERS** VS ANALOGOUS **CHARACTERS**



ATTRIBUTED COMMON ANCESTRY

ATTRIBUTED COMMON ANCESTRY SIMILAR STRUCTURE

ATTRIBUTED COMMON ANCESTRY SIMILAR STRUCTURE SIMILAR FUNCTION



ATTRIBUTED COMMON ANCESTR SIMILAR STRUCTURE SIMILAR FUNCTION SAME ONTOGENY = ANCESTRY/DEVELOPMENT



ATTRIBUTED COMMON ANCESTR SIMILAR STRUCTURE SIMILAR FUNCTION SAME ONTOGENY = ANCESTRY/DEVELOPMENT EG HUMERUS

S



S



S









ANALOGOUS CHARACTERS
NOT ATTRIBUTED COMMON ANCESTRY

NOT ATTRIBUTED COMMON ANCESTRY SIMILAR FUNCTION

NOT ATTRIBUTED COMMON ANCESTRY SIMILAR FUNCTION DIFFERENT STRUCTURE



NOT ATTRIBUTED COMMON ANCESTRY SIMILAR FUNCTION DIFFERENT STRUCTURE DIFFERENT ONTOGENY = ANCESTRY/DEVELOPMENT



NOT ATTRIBUTED COMMON ANCESTRY SIMILAR FUNCTION DIFFERENT STRUCTURE DIFFERENT ONTOGENY = ANCESTRY/DEVELOPMENT EG BIRD & BAT WING

S



D



D



AC





INDEPENDENT EVOLUTION OF LIKE STRUCTURES BY UNRELATED TAXA

DUE TO SIMILAR ENVIRONMENT SELECTIVE PRESSURES



EXAMPLE CONVERGENT EVOLUTION ANIMALS

CONVERGENT CHARACTERS

A



CONVERGENT CHARACTERS

EG





EXAMPLE CONVERGENT **EVOLUTION PLANTS**











Λ

PINNATELY COMPOUND LEAF ANALOGOUS CHARACTER



PHENETIC CLASSIFICATION VS PHYLOGENETIC **CLASSIFICATION**



PHENETIC NATURAL CLASSIFICATION

PHENETIC NATURAL CLASSIFICATION

PHENETIC NATURAL CLASSIFICATION

BASED ON CHARACTER CORRELATION ONLY

PHENETIC NATURAL CLASSIFICATION

PHENETIC NATURAL CLASSIFICATION

ATTEMPT REFLECT OVERALL SIMILARITY

PHENETIC NATURAL CLASSIFICATION

PHENETIC NATURAL CLASSIFICATION

ATTEMPT REFLECT OVERALL SIMILARITY

NO ATTEMPT REFLECT PHYLOGENY

PHENETIC NATURAL CLASSIFICATION